

Message

From: Wharton, Steve [Wharton.Steve@epa.gov]
Sent: 1/17/2014 8:56:48 PM
To: Hestmark, Martin [Hestmark.Martin@epa.gov]
CC: Costanzi, Frances [Costanzi.Frances@epa.gov]; Stavnes, Sandra [Stavnes.Sandra@epa.gov]; Murray, Bill [Murray.Bill@epa.gov]; Leclerc, Russell [Leclerc.Russell@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Thanks.

From: Hestmark, Martin
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 1:54 PM
To: Wharton, Steve
Cc: Costanzi, Frances; Stavnes, Sandra; Murray, Bill; Leclerc, Russell
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

I think we should continue.

From: Wharton, Steve
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 1:51 PM
To: Hestmark, Martin
Cc: Costanzi, Frances
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Martin, Fran's note reminded me that I needed to check-in with you regarding this year's (2013) Superfund Annual Report, which we should start very soon, if you continue to support the idea. We've budgeted \$20,000 for the report this year, as opposed to \$30,000 last year, but feel that we can still generate a quality product. We likely will shorten the individual articles and may produce fewer printed copies, but can still keep the scope to the entire Superfund program.

Please let me and Fran know if you support moving forward with this project.

Thanks,
Steve

From: Hestmark, Martin
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 1:11 PM
To: Costanzi, Frances; Miller, Johanna; Rehder, Timothy
Cc: Stavnes, Sandra; Ketellapper, Victor; Forrest, Sabrina; Wharton, Steve; Murray, Bill
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Thanks Fran! I was also thinking about CO Smelter, wondered about timing, but if it can help even before it is listed then maybe it would be a good choice.

From: Costanzi, Frances
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 11:53 AM
To: Miller, Johanna; Hestmark, Martin; Rehder, Timothy
Cc: Stavnes, Sandra; Ketellapper, Victor; Forrest, Sabrina; Wharton, Steve; Murray, Bill
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Hi Martin. Johanna is correct and I have a little more to add. HQ has supported us with SRI seed money several times in the past. For example, they fully funded the reuse charrette at East Helena and helped

us jump-start the facilitation support at Lincoln Park. Melissa and Frank (HQ SRI staff) have asked each regional SRI coordinator to propose one seed project that would be funded by HQ, at least initially, for this year. This would be start-up funding that we could then choose to add funds to later, depending on the project. I think Colorado Smelter is one of the projects that might be well-suited to this. Also, we could tap the contract and use it for other projects, such as the ones Johanna names, although we may have to provide the funding.

There is nothing in the contract that restricts us to remedial projects, although those are typically the projects that fit well with reuse. In my discussions with HQ, I believe they are interested in expanding to support pre-remedial projects as well.

We actually can and do use this contract for other things. Currently, it continues to provide the support for the Lincoln Park facilitation and the Future Management Systems (Lyle Kirtman) support to the Libby Asbestos Team and the Remedial Response program, as well as five-year review support for various sites. We also do the Superfund annual report under this contract and multiple reuse-related fact sheets and videos. The contractor manages a database of site reuse information and pictures that Jim Woolford, Mathy and others often tap for presentations.

I find this contract to be an easy and flexible one to use, as well as cost-effective. Melissa and Frank are fantastic to work with, and the Skeo Solutions staff are great and produce high quality work. I hope we can expand our use of it. It is a focused contract, however. For example, it cannot be used for sampling or remedial design or construction, but it is excellent for its intended purposes.

At Colorado Smelter, it could assist the community and local leaders in looking at future possibilities and help them realize that listing on the NPL is not a death sentence but may actually be a path to a better future.

Please let me know if you want more information.

Fran

From: Miller, Johanna
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 10:27 AM
To: Hestmark, Martin; Rehder, Timothy
Cc: Stavnes, Sandra; Ketellapper, Victor; Costanzi, Frances; Forrest, Sabrina
Subject: RE: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Martin – As you probably know, this SRI funding is typically limited to existing NPL sites and Fran is the regional contact with OSRETI. We are working with her on some early support for Pueblo to do community planning around the Colorado smelter site. She thinks HQ will be open to funding such an effort so Sabrina will provide this as an option for the city leaders to consider. Smurfit Stone and Columbia Falls Aluminum smelter may be other good candidates for this kind of support. I know Tim did some assessment of renewable energy potential at Smurfit but if I recall the wind and solar resources did not pan out.

Johanna

From: Hestmark, Martin
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2014 7:49 AM
To: Miller, Johanna; Rehder, Timothy
Cc: Stavnes, Sandra
Subject: FW: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

Thoughts?

From: Stalcup, Dana
Sent: Thursday, January 16, 2014 11:41 AM
To: OSWER SF Reg DDs
Cc: OSWER OSRTI IO
Subject: Superfund Redevelopment Region Seed Report - December 2013

SF Regional DDs,

Please find attached the Superfund Redevelopment Regional Seed report for the 1st quarter in FY14. This quarterly report highlights progress made in supporting reuse activities at sites across the nation where we have awarded regional seeds. Regional seeds are in-kind services, given to communities at the request of the Region or used to help Regional staff with a reuse-related tasks, that are designed to spur the process of returning a Superfund site to productive reuse.

The seed concept provides an initial investment to bring the right stakeholders to the table, clarify remedy constraints, and outline suitable reuse options for the local community to pursue. These efforts play an important role in establishing reasonable expectations about future use, selecting and implementing remedies that are protective of human health, involving communities in the cleanup process, successfully implementing institutional controls, finding stewards who can aid in long-term maintenance, and providing new opportunities for communities.

If you have any questions about this report, Please contact Frank Avvisato at 703-603-8949 or Melissa Friedland at 703-603-8864.

=====
Dana Stalcup
Acting Director, Assessment and Remediation Division
OSWER/OSRTI
phone: 703-603-8702
cell: 202-309-5473